

**RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT  
OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)**

***FOR***

**AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR  
RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF  
MON88702 COTTON FOR SUPPLY OR  
OFFER TO SUPPLY**

**NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/23**

**APPLICANT: BAYER CO. (MALAYSIA)  
SDN. BHD.**

**DATE: 22 JUNE 2022**

## ***I - Summary of Assessment Process***

On 18 February 2022, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC) received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, insect resistant MON88702 cotton. The application was filed by Bayer Co. (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 4 October 2021 to 2 November 2021 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media. Comments were received from Consumers Association of Penang (CAP) and Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). GMAC took into consideration the comments that were relevant to the risk assessment including safety of the Bt toxin used in the genetic modification, presence of the GM protein in edible oils, unintentional release and the requirement for labelling.

GMAC had four (4) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

## ***II - Background of Application***

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism insect resistant MON88702 cotton. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, MON88702 cotton has been approved in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. MON88702 cotton is approved in many countries including Australia, Canada, Columbia, Japan, New Zealand, Philippines, Republic of Korea and United States of America. Processed oil and meal of MON88702 cotton may be imported into Malaysia as food or feed products or as cottonseed for further processing.

The primary use of cotton is for the textile industry. Cottonseed is processed into four major by-products: oil, meal, hulls and linters. The primary human foods from cottonseed are highly processed refined, bleached and deodorized (RBD) oil and linters. Cottonseed oil is traded as premium quality oil that is used in a variety of food uses, including frying, salad and cooking oil, mayonnaise, salad dressing, shortening, margarine and packing oil. Linters, which are also highly processed and are nearly pure cellulose, are used as a fiber supplement, casings for processed meats, binder for solids in the pharmaceutical industry and to improve viscosity in products such as toothpaste, ice cream and salad dressings. Cottonseed and cottonseed meal is primarily sold as feed for livestock, of which the major value is as a protein concentrate. The presence of gossypol and cyclopropenoid fatty acids in cottonseed does not prevent use in ruminant diets, but does limit the cottonseed quantity utilized as a protein supplement in these diets, such as beef

cattle, dairy cows and sheep. Most other farm animals (monogastric animals) are not fed cottonseed meal to any appreciable level. The hull is used as roughage for livestock. Gin by-products are also used as a source of roughage for livestock feeds. There is no difference in the use of products of MON88702 cotton compared to those of conventional cotton already in the market.

### **Information about MON88702 cotton**

Genetically modified MON88702 cotton was produced by insertion of the modified Cry51Aa2 gene from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (B.t.) into the genome of conventional cotton using *Agrobacterium-tumefaciens*-mediated transformation method. MON88702 cotton produces a modified Cry51Aa2 insecticidal crystal (Cry) protein (referred to as mCry51Aa2) that protects against feeding damage caused by targeted hemipteran and thysanopteran insect pests.

Information and data from studies demonstrate that MON88702 cotton and the feeds and foods derived from it, are as safe and nutritious as conventional varieties of cotton and the comparable feeds and foods derived from them.

### ***III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan***

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application;
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question; and
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and

4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein/ altering/interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms, nutritional equivalence and anti-nutritional properties.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential animal health risks and issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential environmental risks and issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/seed and cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes.

Based on the above, a final list of 20 potential hazards were identified. Most of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or "negligible".

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) **Accidental release of viable seeds**

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities. Any spillage shall be collected and cleaned up immediately. Transportation of the

consignment must be in secured and closed conditions. Cotton is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia, thus, there is no issue of outcrossing.

**b) Planting of seeds**

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

**c) Nutritional equivalence**

No major significant differences between MON88702 cotton and conventional cotton were observed from proximate analysis, analysis of fibre, amino acids, key nutrients and anti-nutrients present in cotton. The composition of MON88702 cotton is comparable to that of the conventional cotton control.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicate potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

#### ***IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval***

Based on the 20 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing and is not to be used as planting material.
- c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of MON88702 cotton, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed conditions.

## ***V - Other Regulatory Considerations***

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.
- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985; and GM food labelling guidelines.

## ***VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for release and long term use of this product***

- a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the MON88702 cotton shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

## ***VII –Conclusion and Recommendation***

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, insect resistant MON88702 cotton, and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

## **VIII – Bibliography**

1. Cannon, R.J (1993) Prospects and progress for *Bacillus thuringiensis*-based pesticides. *Pesticide Sci* 37:331–335.
2. Chinnadurai, P. (2016). Assessment of Cry51Aa2.834\_16 Protein Levels in Cotton Tissues Collected from MON 88702 Produced in United States Field Trials During 2015. MSL0027766. Monsanto Company
3. Connor 2003-The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment.
4. Crawley, M.J., S.L. Brown, R.S. Hails, D.D. Koh and M. Rees (2001). Transgenic crops in natural habitats. *Nature* 409:682-683.
5. FAO-WHO. 2001. Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
6. Fincher K.L., Flasiniski S., Wilkinson J.Q. (2003). Plant expression constructs. Monsanto Technology LLC (2003) assignee. United States patent 6,660,911
7. Hamilton, K.A., P.D. Pyla, M. Breeze, T. Olson, M.H. Li, E. Robinson, S.P. Gallagher, R. Sorbet and Y. Chen. 2004. Bollgard II cotton: Compositional analysis and feeding studies of cottonseed from insect-protected cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) producing the Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab2 proteins. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 52:6969-6976.
8. Hammond, B. (2004). A review of the food/feed safety and benefits of *Bacillus thuringiensis* protein containing insect-protected crops. Pages 103-123 in ACS Symposium, American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C.
9. Hammond, B.G. and R.L. Fuchs. 1998. Safety evaluation for new varieties of food crops developed through biotechnology. Pages 61-79 in *Biotechnology and Safety Assessment*. Second Edition. J.A. Thomas (ed.). Taylor & Francis, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
10. Harrison, J.M., D. Howard, M. Malven, S.C. Halls, A.H. Culler, G.G. Harrigan and R.D. Wolfinger. 2013. Principal variance component analysis of crop composition data: A case study on herbicide-tolerant cotton. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 61:6412-6422.
11. Jerga A, Chen D, Zhang C, Fu J, Kouadio JK, Wang Y, Duff SMG, Howard JE, Rydel TJ, Evdokimov AG, Ramaseshadri P, Evans A, Bolognesi R, Park Y, Haas JA. Mechanistic insights into the first *Lygus*-active  $\beta$ -pore forming protein. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2016 Jun 15;600:1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2016.03.016. Epub 2016 Mar 19. PMID: 27001423.
12. Keese P. 2008. Risks from GMOs due to horizontal gene transfer. *Environmental Biosafety Research*. 2008;7(3):123–149. doi: 10.1051/ebr:2008014
13. Klusmeyer, T.H., C.M. Linder, and S.G. Riordan. 2016. Amended Report for MSL0029033: Compositional Analyses of Cottonseed from MON 88702 Grown in the United States During the 2015 Season. MSL0029119. Monsanto Company
14. Koch, M.S., J.M. Ward, S.L. Levine, J.A. Baum, J.L. Vicini and B.G. Hammond (2015). The food and environmental safety of Bt crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6:283.
15. Landin, K.L. (2017). Amended from MSL0027647: An Acute Oral Gavage Toxicity Study of Cry51Aa2.834\_16 Protein in CD-1 Mice. MSL0028578. Monsanto Company
16. McClintock, J.T., C.R. Schaffer and R.D. Sjoblad (1995). A comparative review of the mammalian toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis*-based pesticides. *Pesticide Science* 45:95-105.

17. Moar WJ, Evans AJ, Kessenich CR, Baum JA, Bowen DJ, Edrington TC, Haas JA, Kouadio JK, Roberts JK, Silvanovich A, Yin Y, Weiner BE, Glenn KC, Odegaard ML. The sequence, structural, and functional diversity within a protein family and implications for specificity and safety: The case for ETX\_MTX2 insecticidal proteins. *J Invertebr Pathol.* 2017 Jan;142:50-59. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2016.05.007. Epub 2016 May 25. PMID: 27235983.
18. Nida, D. L.; Patzer, S.; Harvey, P.; Stipanovic, R.; Wood, R.; Fuchs, R. L. (1996). Glyphosate-tolerant cotton: the composition of the cottonseed is equivalent to that of conventional cottonseed *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1996, 44, 1967– 1974
19. OECD (2000). Report of the task force for the safety of novel foods and feeds. C(2000)86/ADD1. Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
20. OECD (2010). Human health assessment. Pages 234-237 in *Safety Assessment of Transgenic Organisms*. Volume 3. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
21. Paul JH (1999) Microbial gene transfer: An ecological perspective. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology* 1: 45–50.
22. Reeves, J. B, Weihrauch, J. L, & Consumer and Food Economics Institute (U.S.), C. and Food Economics Institute (U.S.) (1979). *Composition of foods : fats and oils : raw, processed, prepared.*
23. Roberts, A., Y. Devos, A. Raybould, P. Bigelow and A. Gray. 2014. Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions. *Transgenic Research* 23:971-983.
24. Silvanovich, A. and C. Kessenich (2017). Bioinformatics Evaluation of the mCry51Aa2 Protein in MON 88702 Utilizing the AD\_2017, TOX\_2017, and PRT\_2017 Databases. MSL0028423. Monsanto Company
25. Sims SR, Berberich SA, Nida DL, Segalini LL, Leach JN, Ebert CC and RL Fuchs [1996]. Analysis of expressed proteins in fiber fractions from insect-protected and glyphosateresistant cotton varieties. *Crop Sci.* 36:1212-1216.
26. Thomas, K., M. Aalbers, G.A. Bannon, M. Bartels, R.J. Dearman, D.J. Esdaile, T.J. Fu, C.M. Glatt, N. Hadfield, C. Hatzos, S.L. Hefle, J.R. Heylings, R.E. Goodman, B. Henry, C. Herouet, M. Holsapple, G.S. Ladics, T.D. Landry, S.C. MacIntosh, E.A. Rice, L.S. Privalle, H.Y. Steiner, R. Teshima, R. van Ree, M. Woolhiser and J. Zawodny. 2004. A multilaboratory evaluation of a common in vitro pepsin digestion assay protocol used in assessing the safety of novel proteins. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 39:87-98.
27. USDA-FAS (2017). Production, supply, and distribution online: Cotton: Area harvested, production; Oil, cottonseed: Production. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, Washington, D.C. <https://apps.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/app/index.html> [Accessed May 3, 2017].
28. U.S. EPA (1988). Guidance for the reregistration of pesticide products containing *Bacillus thuringiensis* as the active ingredient. 540/RS-89-023. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
29. Wang, R. (2017). Amended Report for MSL0027977: Assessment of the in vitro Digestibility of Cry51Aa2.834\_16 Protein by Pepsin and Pancreatin. MSL0028885. Monsanto Company



**GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN  
SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF  
PRODUCTS OF MON88702 COTTON FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

1. **Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (GMAC Chairman)**
2. **Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative) (Environment sub-committee Leader)**
3. **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Human Health sub-committee Leader)**
4. **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia - retired) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)**
5. **Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)**
6. Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Academy of Sciences Malaysia)
7. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
8. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
9. Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
10. Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
11. Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
12. Dr. Saifullizam bin Abdul Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services)
13. Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
14. Dr. Mohd Hefni Rusli (Malaysian Palm Oil Board)
15. Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)
16. Madam Sabariah Kamis (Department of Agriculture)
17. Mr. Harun bin Ahmad (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)
18. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sharifah binti Syed Hassan (Monash University Malaysia)
19. Dr. Kumitaa Theva Das (Universiti Sains Malaysia)